

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,460	04/19/2001	Yoshiyuki Nitta	206346US-2	6752
22850 7	590 02/18/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			CHU, GABRIEL L	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	2114		

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/837,460	NITTA, YOSHIYUKI	NITTA, YOSHIYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Gabriel L. Chu	2114			

	Gabriel L. Chu	2114						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 08 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a					
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. Satutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAPP) Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of					
AMENDMENTS								
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		pecause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>4,9 and 12</u> would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>6,10 and 11</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,3,5,7 and 8</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4 and 9</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			4 t					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a find sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal Will I	not be entered is necessary					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or atta	ched.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
		,						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment of claim 1, and subsequently claims 2, 3, 7, and 8, requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 13. Other: 1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6473396 to Kumar.

- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5975738 to DeKoning et al.
- 3. Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claim 9 is objected to as having non-statutory subject matter but would be allowed if amended as suggested below.

5. Claims 6, 10, and 11 are allowed...

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL

PERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100